

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/808,019	03/23/2004	George G. Pappas	LUM 203	5700	
2555	7590 11/15/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	S, FOSTER, PHILLIPS RIDGE BOULEVARD	GRAVINI, STEPHEN MICHAEL			
REYNOLDS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3749		

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	MX			
	10/808,019	PAPPAS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Gravini	3749				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frute, cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely om the mailing date of this considered timely to the mailing date of this consecution (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	March 2004.					
	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ ad	ccepted or b) objected to by th	e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offi	ice Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No vived in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>20040323</u>. 	Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	I Date al Patent Application (PTC)-152)			

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5-6, 8, 10-13, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kumm (US 3,706,523). Kumm is considered to disclose the claimed invention comprising:

a central, axial core 30 of candle fuel;

a wick 32, extending axially within the central core; and

a plurality of stacked rings 36, 38, & 40 having an opening, for receipt of the central core, said rings being disposed around the central core. Kumm is also considered to disclose differing ring compositions (column 3 line 63), differing ring coloring agent compositions (column 3 line 21), non-flammable rings (the disclosed compositions are considered non-flammable as claimed and specified by the applicants), lower core melting point from the rings (implicitly disclosed that plastic or metal have lower melting points than the claimed candle fuel core), circular cylindrical ring periphery (column 4 line 37), ring opening diameter substantially equal to an outside diameter of the central core for mating, slidable engagement with the core (column 4 line 22), selected distance above an upper-most stacked ring core extension (see figure 5B), radially formed base bottom flange core extension (see figure 6A), non-

Art Unit: 3749

flammable solid base **34**, and ring periphery substantially in conformity with the periphery of the base (see figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumm in view of Kapinski (US 6,551,099). Kumm is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above under the anticipatory rejection, except for the claimed differing fragrances. Kapinski, another candle, is considered to disclose differing fragrances at column 2 lines 58-60. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Kumm with the differing fragrances, considered disclosed by Kapinski for the purpose of providing several fragrances from just one candle.

Claims 7, 9, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumm in view of Hardy (US 6,065,960). Kumm is considered to disclose the

Art Unit: 3749

claimed invention, as discussed above under the anticipatory rejection, except for the claimed mating surface contours engaging end rings, including recessed cavity matingly engagable and square cylindrical ring periphery. Hardy, another candle device, is considered to disclose mating surface contours engaging end rings, including recessed cavity matingly engagable and square cylindrical ring periphery at column 2 lines 42-61 and shown in figure 1 respectively. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to combine the teachings of Kumm with the mating surface contours engaging end rings, including recessed cavity matingly engagable and square cylindrical ring periphery, considered disclosed by Hardy for the purpose of mixing and matching varying layers for different, unique, and pleasing ornamental effects.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumm. Kumm is considered to disclose the claimed invention, as discussed above under the anticipatory rejection, except for the claimed wax candle base. The Office takes Official Notice of the wax candle base feature because to one skilled in the art, it would have been obvious to provide a wax candle base, since most candles are made of wax including a candle base.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References D-H, cited in this action, are considered to disclose candles with stage ring features.

Art Unit: 3749

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Gravini whose telephone number is 703 308 7570. The examiner can normally be reached on normal weekday business hours (east coast time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ira S. Lazarus can be reached on 703 308 1935. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Smg November 5, 2004 Stephen M Grann